

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,385	03/17/2004	James V. Miller	P00918-US-00 (25490.0031)	3674
22446 7	590 11/13/2006		EXAMINER	
ICE MILLER LLP ONE AMERICAN SQUARE, SUITE 3100			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46282-0200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/802,	385	MILLER, JAMES	. V.			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			Johnson	3634				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on t	he cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF CFR 1.136(a). In no tion. y period will apply and by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	16 October 20	<u> 206</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 8-27 is/are pending in the applie	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-27</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or	b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requ	ired if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. I	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th	, -		n received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
* (application from the International I See the attached detailed Office action for	•	, ,,	at roppiyad				
	see the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the ce	ruiled copies no	t received.				
Attachmen	at(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/802,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

Specification

The amendment filed 10/16/06 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

The thickness of the slat is said to be 0.040 inches. However, only a portion of the engaging track is shown having this thickness and the main body of the slat appears to be significantly thicker. The statement that the slat is extruded from 0.040 inch metal is not understood since extrusion is the process wherein liquid material, in this case metal, is forced through a nozzle which forms the shape. On page 9, the different degrees, 110, 70 and 90, are not shown in the original shop drawings. On page 12, the arc 354 is said to be various degrees. However, on page 13, it is actually calculated to be 297.96 degrees. These arcs with other degrees disclosed do not have basis in the original specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following *informalities*: on page 10, line 11, "retention" should be "engagement". On page 12, line 3, "Fig. 4" should be "Fig. 3". On page 15, line 20, "FIG. 4" should be "FIG. 8".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Application/Control Number: 10/802,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Note that the subject of certain new matter objections discussed above also appear in the claims, such as the angles of the curved surface in the receptacle track.

In claim 8,etc., the "decreasing-radius arc" is incorrect. Surface 265 has a radius of curvature that is clearly different and shorter than the radius of curvature where lead line 6 is located on the inner surface of the engagement track, which is almost planar. Also in claim 8 as well as other claims, while no specific arc for inner surface 6 is disclosed, it appears to be significantly more than 180 degrees. While claim 8 recites "at least 180 degrees", which surface 6 clearly is, claim coverage would extend from 181 degrees upward to 360 degrees. The disclosure does not provide proper basis for claiming an arc of a specific number of degrees between 181 degrees to 360 degrees. Dependent claims that recite specific angles, i.e. 210,230, etc., also do not have basis in the disclosure. Also in claim 8, the vertical movement less than 0.1 inches similarly establishes a larger range than that disclosed, namely 0.043 inches.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-16,20-23,25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Schneider.

In Fig. 1, see engaging track 2 and receptacle track 3. The engaging track has a decreasing radius arc ending at a tip. The receptacle track has a lip (defining the left edge of the opening into receptacle 5), a first articulation surface (clockwise from the lip), a second articulation surface at the top of the receptacle 5, the surfaces being separated by a planar portion, i.e. discontiguous, and a gap is located between the ends of the surfaces.

Double Patenting

Claims 8-27 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-47, respectively, of copending Application No. 11/058,879. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Remarks concerning claim 8 are most in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Regarding claim 13, applicant is correct in his interpretation of the Examiner's view of Schnieder, but only to a point. The lip does extend so that it gets closer to the second articulation surface to a certain extent. Also the gap would be across the inside of the receptacle from one edge of the second articulation surface to the edge of the first articulation surface.

Art Unit: 3634

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 10/31/06